

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,828	03/18/2004	Greg Wray	HILB / 774	7036	
26875	7590 05/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	RRON & EVANS, LLP	MILLER, WILLIAM L			
2700 CAREW 441 VINE STI	- - ··	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNATI	, ОН 45202		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/803,828	ŀ	WRAY ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		William L. N		3677		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	larch 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-23 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consid				
Applicat	ion Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		☐ objected to by the !	Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	tion is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been s have been rity documer u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen				•		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 09072004.			Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/803,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Figs. 1-2), and claims 1-7, in the reply filed on 03-09-2006 is acknowledged. Claims 8-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 6, change "without" to --outside--; claim 4, line 5, change "a" to --said--; and claim 4, line 8, change "without" to --outside--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benedict et al. (US#5675876) in view of Titus (US#4311235).
- 5. Benedict discloses a casket and memorabilia compartment assembly, the casket comprising: a shell 12; a cap 14; and the memorabilia compartment assembly comprising: a panel 30 mounted to the shell for swinging displacement between an inner hanging position (Fig. 2) and an outer hanging position (Fig. 1); the top surface of the panel defining an exposed display side which includes compartments 60,62,64 capable of retaining pictures therein, each

Art Unit: 3677

compartment including an outer surface, namely cover elements 90,92,94. The compartments are being viewed as pockets and include an opening at the top thereof. The display side of the panel has an ornamental appearance as the panel is finished to match the casket (col. 3, lines 5-7).

6. Regarding claims 1 and 4, Benedict fails to disclose the outer surfaces/covers being transparent. However, Titus teaches a similar display panel/tray 20 which includes a transparent outer surface/cover 60 for providing safety of the tray contents and unobstructed viewing of the contents. Therefore, as taught by Titus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Benedict by utilizing transparent outer surfaces/covers for unobstructed viewing of the memorabilia when the compartments are covered.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7068. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,828

Art Unit: 3677

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William L. Miller Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677

WLM